UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,769	09/14/2006	Werner Reinhart	1093-146 PCT/US	9833
	7590 10/07/200 & BARON, LLP		EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE		JOY, DAVID J	
SYOSSET, NY	. 11791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/561,769	REINHART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Joy	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Dec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/2005; 04/23/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure:

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

5. Claims 1-3 are indefinite due to the recitation of the limitations "being capable of

establishing a contrast on a scale identified as global at the level of the document"

(Claim 1), "on a scale identified as detail at the level of the document" (Claim 1),

"established on said detail scale" (Claims 2 and 3). Said limitations are indefinite due to

the fact that it is unclear what those claim limitations mean, and the specification fails to

provide any adequate explanation as to the meaning of those claim limitations.

- 6. Claims 4-28 are rejected accordingly, as they all depend upon Claim 1.
- 7. The terms "generally", "recognizable", "purely", "sufficiently" and "immediately" in Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12-15, 17-21, 25 and 26 are relative terms which

Art Unit: 1794

render the claims indefinite. The terms "generally", "recognizable", "purely", "sufficiently" and "immediately" are not defined by the respective claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

- 8. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 16, 22-24, 27 and 28 are rejected accordingly, as they all depend upon Claim 1.
- 9. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said optically active structures" in Line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 11. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the U.S. Patent Application Publication of Holmes et al. (2003/0058491; hereinafter "Holmes").
- 12. Holmes teaches a strip for safeguarding a document ("optically variable security device") comprising a support ("carrier") on which there is formed in the longitudinal direction of the strip alternating metallized regions and transparent regions, and the strip contains optically active elements in either the metallized or transparent regions (see Abstract; see also Figure 5c; see also ¶¶ [0001], [0004], [0052] and [0055]). Holmes also teaches that the strip produces an optical effect that is dependent on the angle of observation of the strip, and that the strip also establishes a contrasting color shift on the document, thereby securing documents and articles against counterfeiting (see ¶¶ [0001], [0003] and [0006]). Holmes recites that the transparent regions (i.e., the regions located between 20 and 50 in Figure 5c) are associated with a varnish coating (i.e., 34) (see Figure 5c; see also $\P\P$ [0044]-[0055]). Also, Holmes provides that the optical active elements comprise optically variable elements, or optically active structures such as reflective metallized zones, diffractive microstructures, holographic microstructures, demetallized areas of a metallized region, graphical design elements (i.e., "printing"), metallized areas of a transparent region, and diffractive iridescence ("luminescence") (see Figure 5c; see also ¶¶ [0003]-[0006], [0031] and [0044]-[0055]).

13. Additionally, Holmes shows that the metallized regions and the regions containing the optically active structures can be arranged in such a way that the regions are adjacent to one another, given the teaching that the metal layer ("50") can be discontinuous, thereby making it possible to arrange the regions either adjacent to each other, or with an intervening transparent region (see Figures 4a, 4b, 5a, 5b, 5c and 8). Further, Holmes teaches that the holographic element can be provided is such a way that it overlaps the security strip (*Id.*). Finally, Holmes expressly provides that the security strip can be integrated as a security thread, and that the thread can be used to safeguard a myriad of security documents (see ¶¶ [0032] and [0055]).

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 6,060,143	05/2000	Tompkins et al.
US 4,943,093	07/1990	Melling et al.
US 4,662,653	05/1987	Greenaway
WO 93/01057	01/1993	Suess

Application/Control Number: 10/561,769

Art Unit: 1794

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Joy whose telephone number is (571) 272-9056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

Page 7

- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Ruthkosky can be reached on (571) 272-1291. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 1794

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DJJ/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794 09/23/2009

/Bruce H Hess/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794